Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/662,495	CHAMBERLAIN ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Binh-An D. Nguyen	3713					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) <u>Binh-An D. Nguyen</u> .	(3) Adam Masia (Applicants' representative).						
(2) <u>Scott Jones (Primary Examiner)</u> .	(4) Bob Blair (Applicants' representative).						
Date of Interview: <u>09 August 2006</u> .							
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☑ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:							
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-25</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: Rowe(2002/0002075) and Crevelt et al. (5,902,983).							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)							
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
		43					

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Similar to the invention presented in the parent appplication (U.S. Application No.10/229772) of which this outstanding application is a Continuation-in-part, Mr. Masia explained the claimed electronic fund trasfer kiosk (and method thereto) wherein two different networks, ticket validation network (for validating ticket from a gaming machine) and electronic fund transfer network, are utilized; and that the fund transfer request being sent from the kiosk to the remote fund repository independent of the validation network. Mr. Masia further pointed to Figure 4 for illustration of the invention. The examiner, however, thinks that the references of Rowe and Crevelt et al. meet the applicants' claimed invention since the kiosk of Rowe and the network of Crevelt are capable of performing ticket validation presented at the gaming machine and/or communicating electronic fund request from kiosk to the electronic fund transfer network remotely from the local network. The applicants will amend the independent claims to further define the independence of the two networks in an order that would differentiate the applicants' invention with the reference of Rowe and Crevelt et al. Full consideration will be made upon receiving applicants' response to the last Office action .

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form						
Application No.:	0/662,495	First Named Applica	ant: Chra	beloin		
Examiner: B Ng	uyen	Art Unit: S/IS	_ Status of Ap	plication:	net	
Tentative Participan	its: Jam Masco	(2) Bob Blow				
(3)		(4)				
Proposed Date of Int	terview:	8/9/06	Proposed T	ime:	(AM/PM)	
Type of Interview Requested: (1) [] Telephonic (2) [] Personal (3) [] Video Conference						
Exhibit To Be Shows If yes, provide brief	ı or Demonstra description:	ited: [] YES	№ ио		_	
Issues To Be Discussed						
Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed	
(1) <u>kej</u>	1-25	Art Ceselt	W	M	[]	
(2)			[]	[] -	[]	
(3)			[]	[]	[]	
(4) [] Continuation Shee	et Attached		[]	[]	[]	
Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented: Susshowin vention S distinguished over provantable Passible Claim amendments						
		da	turistal	0000 111	is artand	
			assible C	lain an	end monts.	
An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on						
This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b))						
as soon as possible.						
Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature Examinar/SPE Signature						
Typed/Printed Name	of Applicant or 1	Representative				
	Number, if appli					
Registration	Number, if appli	cable				

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.